

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,687	03/03/2004	Hiroyuki Nagasawa	Q80235	2610	
23373 7590 04/20/2005			EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			GORDON, RAEANN		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			L ADDIANT I	DARRAW (DED	
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	DN, DC 20037		3711		
,		•			

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
_
$\sim$

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,687	NAGASAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Raeann Gorden	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	March 2004.	·			
	nis action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	,				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a contract any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to by the E e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-21-04.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,687

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Iwami (2003/0125136). Regarding claims 1 and 2, Iwami discloses a golf ball comprising a core and a cover. The cover is made from a) an olefin resin and b) polyolefin and nylon fiber components (abstract). Regarding claim 3, the components of item (b) are bound together [0054]. Regarding claim 4, the polyolefin may be a low-density polyethylene [0056]. Regarding claim 5, the nylon is nylon 6 [0057]. Regarding claim 6, the composition includes from 1 to 50 parts of (b) per 100 part of the resin (a) (abstract). Regarding claim 8, the composition is the outer cover layer.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwami (2003/0125136). Iwami further discloses the ratio between the polyolefin and nylon 6 components of (b) may be 75/87 and 75/75 [0058-0069]. One of ordinary skill in the art would have varied the weight ratios for the desired durability.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwami (2003/0125136) in view of Sano et al (5,929,171). Iwami does not disclose a two-layer cover. However, Sano teaches the use of a single or multi-layer cover. One of ordinary skill in the art would use a single or multi-layer cover for the desired durability.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,687

Art Unit: 3711

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg April 17, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER